

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,350	07/24/2003	Dennis Lewis	BAI525/03273	9118
24118 7590 09/22/2006 EXAMINER				
HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN 228 W 17TH PLACE TULSA, OK 74119			BASICHAS, ALFRED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3749	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/626,350	LEWIS, DENNIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alfred Basichas	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 6 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ily 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 3,5-16,18-24 and 27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3,5-16,18-24 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 3, 5-16, 18-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. (See below for further explanation.)
- 3. Claims 3, 5-16, 18-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for ports, does not reasonably provide enablement for adjustable ports. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to *make and/or use* the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. (See below for further explanation.)

Applicant claims "adjustable ports", which are not sufficiently described in the specification to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use the

Application/Control Number: 10/626,350

Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

invention as now claimed. The only mention of any form of adjustability in the specification is vague. Specifically, the specification recites

a. "However the configuration and/or shape of the ports can be <u>adjusted</u> to suit particular gas flow and combustion requirements."

and

b. "The sizing of the ports and the spacing of the ports in each set 12-20 are determined with respect to the heat and flame requirements to be provided to each HX tube 22 with which the burner assembly is mounted. Thus these parameters can be <u>adjusted</u> to suit particular heat exchanger parameters and can take into account any environmental considerations including emission regulations and standards."

While this is sufficient to establish that such was envisioned by applicant at the time of filing and avoid issues of new matter, there is insufficient disclosure to establish exactly what is meant by adjustable. Is this something that is established at the design stage and/or manufacture stage, or is there structure to permit adjustment at the time of installation or sometime thereafter? Applicant is advised that the former is inherent in the burner art, as adjusting the ports at the time of design and manufacture is a fundamental function. As regards the latter, there is insufficient disclosure to enable one of ordinary skill, let alone ascertain the scope of the invention.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,350

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

September 14, 2006

*r*xmed/ваяслаѕ Primary Examiner